EMS Subspecialty Certification Review Course

Protection of Human Subjects 3.2.1 Informed Consent, "Final Rule," Exception from Informed Consent

2025





1

Learning Objectives

- Upon the completion of this program participants will be able to:
 - Describe ethical principles of medical research
 - Describe the systems for protection of human subjects from risk of research
 - Describe the origins and purpose of informed consent
 - Describe the rationale and methods for Exception from Informed Consent



American College of Emergency Physician

2

What is the "Common Rule?"

- a) Institutional (university) regulations on conduct of human subjects research
- b) Federal regulations on conduct of human subjects research
- c) Principle that scientists must use common sense when conducting research
- d) Laws governing conduct of research



American College of Emergency Physicians

Ethics in Research

- · History of unethical experimentation
 - Nazi medical experimentation (1930s)
 - Milgram experiments (1960s)
 - (Use of Thalidomide)
 - Tuskegee syphilis study (1930-1972)
- 1974 National Research Act
- 1979 National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects → Belmont Report



American College of Emergency Physicians

4

Belmont Report (1979)

- Standards for US human subjects research
- · Three primary ethical principles
 - 1) Respect for persons
 - Right of individuals to make informed free choice to participate in research
 - Persons with diminished autonomy have additional protections
 - 2) Beneficence
 - Risks of study should be kept to absolute minimum needed
 - 3) <u>Justice</u>
 - Risks of study not borne by one population while benefits go to another population



American College of Emergency Physician

5

The Common Rule

- Uniform federal regulations on conduct of human subjects research
 - Title 45 Part 45 Subpart A: "Basic HHS Policy for Protection of Human Research Subjects"
- Three levels of protection:
 - 1) Federal (Institutional Assurance of Compliance, Federal Wide Assurance (FWA))
 - 2) Institutional (Institutional Review Board (IRB))
 - 3) Investigator (Informed Consent)



American College of Emergency Physicians

Types of IRB Review

- · Not Human Subjects Research
 - Example: CARES database, Meta analysis
- · Exempt Review
 - "Less than minimal risk"
 - Meets specific criteria
 - Example: de-identified records, anonymous surveys
- · Expedited Review
 - "No greater than minimal risk"
 - Meets specific criteria
 - Example: Retrospective review of clinical data
- Full Review
 - More than minimal risk



- Example: prospective clinical study



7

Informed Consent

- Process of gaining permission from a subject for their participation in research
- · Protected Groups
- · Difficult in prehospital setting
- Waiver of informed consent
 - IRB may waive requirement for consent for low risk studies
 - Most important risks: 1) medical, 2) confidentiality
 - Example: Observational study of cardiac arrests treated by EMS



American College of Emergency Physician

ጸ



Focus on content that is unique to Emergency Medical Services. (this is not just another EM board exam)



American College of Emergency Physician:

Exception From Informed Consent (EFIC)

- Not possible to obtain consent in emergency conditions
- 1979-1993 various approaches to emergency consent
 - "Deferred", "implied", two-tiered consent
- 1993 Federal moratorium on all studies without prospective informed consent
- 1996 "Final Rule"
 - Provides mechanism for emergency research
 - "Exception from Informed Consent" (EFIC)
 - . NOT waiver of informed consent





10

Conditions Eligible for EFIC

- <u>Life threatening condition</u>
- Available treatment unproven
- Consent not feasible due to subject's medical condition
- <u>Treatment must be given before</u> <u>possible to obtain consent from proxy</u>
- No reasonable way to anticipate subject eligibility
- Risk/benefit must be reasonable
- Some prospect of direct benefit to subject
- Research could not be carried out without waiver of consent
- Attempts to contact LAR
- IRB approval of consent proceduresCommunity consultation and public
- disclosure

 Independent data monitoring
- Early notification of subjects
- FDA approvals (IND, IDE)
- Disclosure of IRB disapprovals



American College of Emergency Physicians

11

Community Consultation and Public Disclosure (Required for EFIC)

- <u>CC</u>: Refers to discussion between investigators and community members
- <u>PD</u>: One-way transfer of information about the study to the community
- · Town hall meetings
- Random-digit dialing
- Newspaper, TV, internet ads
- Facebook, Twitter



American College of Emergency Physicians

What is the "Common Rule?" a) Institutional (university) regulations on conduct of human subjects research b) Federal regulations on conduct of human subjects research c) Principle that scientists must use common sense when conducting research d) Laws governing conduct of research

Take-Home Points

- Quality Management Research = 10% of test items
- Research subjects have rights and legal protections
- Researchers must respect and protect human rights
- <u>Waiver of Informed Consent</u> and <u>Exception from</u> <u>Informed Consent</u> are important tools for EMS research



American College of Emergency Physician

14

	<u> </u>	
	_	
	- —	
	_	
	- —	
	- —	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	<u> </u>	
	_	
-		
	_	
	- <u>-</u>	
	- <u>-</u>	